Sodium hyaluronate (hyaluronic acid) promotes migration of human corneal epithelial cells in vitro
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Purpose: Sodium hyaluronate (hyaluronic acid) is known to promote corneal epithelial wound healing in vivo and in vitro, in animal experiments. Sodium hyaluronate is the ligand for CD44, a cell surface adhesion molecule which has been found on normal human corneal epithelial cells. The purpose of this study was to investigate the effect of sodium hyaluronate on human corneal epithelial cell migration, proliferation, and CD44 receptor expression.

Methods: Human corneal epithelial cell cultures were established from 32 donor corneoscleral rims and maintained separately in three different culture conditions: (1) culture medium only, (2) sodium hyaluronate enriched (0.6 mg/ml) medium, and (3) hydroxypropylmethylcellulose enriched (2.5 mg/ml) medium. The total area of migrating epithelial cell sheets in each case was measured by planimetry on days 4, 8, 12, and 16. Cytospin preparations of cells cultured in the different culture conditions were examined immunohistochemically for proliferation and CD44 receptor expression using antibodies directed against Ki67 and CD44 respectively.

Results: Cells cultured in the presence of sodium hyaluronate showed significantly increased migration at days 12 and 16 (Friedmen test: p = 0.0012, day 16; p = <0.001, day 12) compared with cells cultured in the other media. There was no difference in cell proliferation (Ki67) or CD44 expression on cells cultured in the different culture conditions.

Conclusions: Sodium hyaluronate promotes migration but not proliferation or CD44 expression on human corneal epithelial cells in vitro. The beneficial effect of sodium hyaluronate in corneal wound healing is likely to be related to rapid migration of cells leading to rapid wound closure. This may be facilitated by the adhesion between CD44 on the cells and hyaluronic acid, which coats the surface of the denuded cornea.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Corneal epithelial cell cultures, obtained from human donor explants, were maintained in three different culture conditions: (1) standard growth medium only (SM), (2) standard growth medium enriched with hydroxypropylmethylcellulose (HPMC) (Ocucoat, 2.5 mg/ml) (Storz Ophthalmics, Clearwater, FL, USA), and (3) standard growth medium enriched with sodium hyaluronate (SH) (Healon, 0.6 mg/ml) (Pharmacia & Upjohn, Uppsala, Sweden). The viscosities of hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose and sodium hyaluronate enriched media were equal at the concentrations used. Corneal epithelial cell migration, cell proliferation, and number of cells expressing CD44 were compared in the three groups.

Corneal epithelial cell culture

Primary cultures of human corneal epithelial cells were established from 2 mm limbal explants obtained from 32 donor corneoscleral rims following corneal transplantation, using the method described before by Dua et al. Donor age

Abbreviations: HPMC, hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose; SH, sodium hyaluronate; SM, standard growth medium; TBS, TRIS buffered saline.
ranged from 30 to 74 years. Briefly, under aseptic conditions and using the dissecting microscope, each donor corneoscleral rim was divided into six equal pieces. The endothelial and posterior stromal layer was carefully peeled off and each explant was placed separately, with the epithelial surface facing upwards, in the centre of a 35 mm Falcon Primaria tissue culture dish (Becton Dickinson, Oxford, UK). These were left covered in a laminar flow cabinet at room temperature for 5 minutes and then covered in growth medium (Dulbecco’s MEM/Nut Mix F-12) (DMEM) (Gibco BRL, Life Technologies, Paisley, UK) supplemented with fetal bovine serum (5%) (Gibco); dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (0.5% v/v) (Sigma-Aldrich, Dorset, UK); gentamicin (5 µg/ml) (Roussel Laboratories Ltd, Uxbridge, UK); epidermal growth factor (10 ng/ml) (Gibco); bovine insulin (5 µg/ml) (Gibco) and cholera toxin (0.1 µg/ml) (Gibco). Cultures were incubated at 37°C, in 5% carbon dioxide in humid air. The epithelial cell morphology of the cultures was evaluated daily by phase contrast microscopy. At day four, cell migration from the explants was measured and three similar cultures were selected and randomly assigned to one of the three culture conditions: standard medium (SM) alone, medium with hydroxypropylmethyl cellulose (HPMC) (Ocucoat, 2.5 mg/ml) (Storz Ophthalmics), and medium with sodium hyaluronate (SH) (Healon, 0.6 mg/ml) (Pharmacia & Upjohn). The explants from the same donor rim were maintained in the three different conditions and compared. Explants were left in the culture dish for the duration of the incubation. Culture medium was changed every fourth day. Epithelial cell migration from the explants was measured on days 8, 12, and 16. The advancing edge of the migrating epithelial cell sheet was outlined on the culture dish and the total area of the sheet was determined by planimetry and expressed in square millimeters.

**Intragroup variation of cell migration**

Three similar cultures, with respect to area of cell migration at day four, from the same donor rim were maintained in each of the three culture conditions, for 16 days. Thus of nine cultures selected, three were maintained in SM, three with HPMC, and three with SH. Measurements of area of cell migration were taken on days 8, 12, and 16.

**Cytospins**

On the 16th day of culture, cytocentrifuge preparations of epithelial cells were performed. Adherent cells were first detached from the culture dish by incubation with Trypsin/EDTA (0.05%) (Gibco) at 37°C for 15 minutes. Detached cells were washed twice in DMEM. The Trypan blue dye exclusion test was carried out to ascertain whether any of the culture conditions had an adverse effect on cell membrane integrity. The number of cells in suspension was adjusted to a concentration of 5×10^6 cells/ml. Cytospin preparations of 5000 cells/slide were made by centrifugation in a cytospin for 10 minutes at 1000 rpm, 100 µl/cup. Slides were air dried, fixed in acetone for 10 minutes and stained.

**Immunohistochemistry**

**Ki67 (cell proliferation marker)**

Cell proliferation in the different culture conditions was determined by the use of monoclonal antibody, which reacts with a nuclear antigen Ki67, of proliferating human cells in G1-M but not G0 phase. Primary rabbit anti human Ki67 antibody (Dako, Carpentinia, CA, USA) was applied to the cytospin preparations at a 1:40 dilution for 1 hour at room temperature in a moist chamber. Excess antibody was removed by washing slides in TRIS buffered saline (TBS) (Sigma). Negative controls with TBS and an irrelevant antibody, were set up alongside test slides. Fluorescein (FITC) conjugated swine antirabbit antibody (Dako) 1:20 dilution for 30 minutes, was used as secondary antibody. Slides were mounted in glycerol/phosphate buffered saline. The proportion of positively labelled cells in each cytospin was determined by analysing 200 cells per slide using a fluorescent microscope.

**CD44 (cell adhesion molecule)**

Expression of CD44 by cells cultured in the different culture conditions was determined using an antibody directed against the CD44 receptor. Cytospin preparations were stained using the standard alkaline phosphatase-anti-alkaline phosphatase (APAAP) method. Following incubation with the primary antibody, mouse antihuman CD44 antibody (Dako), 1:40 dilution for 1 hour at room temperature in a moist chamber, slides were stained with rabbit antimumole immunoglobulin (Dako) and then APAAP complex (Dako) at 1:50 and 1:100 dilutions respectively for 30 minutes. Negative controls with TBS and an irrelevant antibody, were also set up. All antibodies were diluted in TBS and between each staining, slides were washed three times in TBS. The reaction product was developed with Fast Red TR salt (Sigma) as chromogen for the APAAP. Slides were counter-stained with haematoxylin (Meyer’s). The proportion of positive red stained cells in each cytospin preparation was determined microscopically by analysing cells in five random high power fields.

**Statistical analysis**

The comparison among migration of groups SH, HPMC, and SM was performed separately for each day, through repeated measures of ANOVA. Repeated measures of ANOVA was also used to study intragroup variation for epithelial migration separately for SH, HPMC, and SM. The comparisons for proliferation with Ki-67 and CD44 expression among groups SH, HPMC, and SM were performed using Friedmans and Wilcoxon test. The significance level adopted in this study was 5% (α = 0.05) and the SPSS system (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for the statistical analysis.

**RESULTS**

**Migration**

Corneal epithelial cells began to migrate from the limbal explants between the second and third day of culture. The cells tended to have a polygonal or cobblestone like morphology migrating as continuous sheets around the explant and towards the edge of the culture dish. A difference in the rate of cell migration with time was detected among the different corneoscleral rims used. However, no significant intra rim difference was noted amongst the triplicates in the same culture conditions (SH: p = 0.300, HPMC: p = 0.277, and SM: p = 0.676). The different culture conditions did not affect cell morphology. Migration rates were however affected by the different culture conditions. On days 4 and 8 there was no significant difference in migration of cells cultured in the different conditions. On day 12, cells cultured in SH presented a significantly higher migration value than cells cultured in HPMC (p = 0.007) and SM (p = 0.025). However there was no difference between cells cultured in HPMC and SM (p = 0.630). On day 16, the three groups were significantly different among themselves (SH < SM: p = 0.001; SH < HPMC: p = 0.003, and HPMC < SM: p = 0.010) (fig 1).

**Trypan blue dye exclusion test**

The number of cells that excluded tyrpan blue dye was consistent in the different culture conditions. The number of cells ranged from 97.14 to 99.3% (mean 98.49%) for cells cultured in the SM and 97 to 99% (mean 98.40%) and 97 to
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99.58% (mean 98.14%) for cells cultured in HPMC and SH enriched media respectively.

**Ki67 (cell proliferation)**

Ki67 expression, an indication of the number of proliferating cells, was not significantly different in the three conditions \((p = 0.957)\). The mean percentage of proliferating cells cultured in SM was 8.16, and 8.66 and 8.40 for HPMC and SH media respectively (fig 2A and B). There was no statistical difference in the total number of cells obtained from the three different culture conditions \((SM \neq HPMC p = 0.267, SM \neq SH p = 0.149, HPMC \neq SH p = 0.197)\).

**CD44**

CD44 expression by the cells in the three culture conditions was also not significantly different, with a mean percentage of 98.56 for SM and 98.58 and 98.4 respectively for HPMC and SH enriched media \((p = 0.819)\) (fig 3A and B).

**DISCUSSION**

The normal corneal basement membrane is composed of collagen type I and IV and laminin. There is virtually no SH or fibronectin, which are only present after an epithelial lesion initiates the healing process. These two substances seem to serve as a temporary matrix for the migration of epithelial cells during wound healing. The coordinated appearance and disappearance of fibronecton receptors (integrins) and fibronecton onto corneal epithelial cell surface have been shown during migration. SH levels also increase during epidermal and corneal epithelial healing induced in rabbits. On the other hand, keratan sulfate and condroitin sulfate which constitute, respectively, 65% and 30% of the total corneal glycosaminoglicans, decrease during corneal epithelial healing. On the other hand, keratan sulfate and condroitin sulfate which constitute, respectively, 65% and 30% of the total corneal glycosaminoglicans, decrease during corneal epithelial healing. In another study, Nakamura et al demonstrated that pretreatment with topical fibronectin increased the healing effect of SH in rabbits corneas in vivo.

Nakamura and Nishida investigated the interaction between SH and different extracellular matrix proteins (fibronectin, laminin, and type IV collagen) on corneal epithelial migration using a rabbit corneal culture system. They observed that SH, fibronectin, or collagen type IV, but not laminin, increased migration. Only pretreatment with fibronectin had a synergistic effect with SH on stimulating epithelial migration. On the other hand, the same authors observed that this effect was only partially inhibited by the addition of antifibronectin serum. Therefore, one can postulate that two possible mechanisms are involved in the potentiation of cell migration by SH—one fibronectin dependent and one fibronectin independent.

The experimental data with regard to the effect of SH on corneal epithelial wound healing can be summarised thus: (1) all of them used animal models—mostly rabbits—and (2) all of them showed a positive effect on corneal epithelial wound healing. However, clinical data in humans showed controversial results. Yokoi et al reported a therapeutic effect of 0.1% HA in 10 eyes that presented with diffuse epithelial keratitis after penetrating keratoplasty. Clinically in patients SH, either by itself or with fibronectin, has been shown to improve epithelial healing.
have also reported a positive effect of SH on corneal epithelial healing after different ocular surgical procedures. On the other hand, in one prospective study comparing SH with placebo in patients undergoing photorefractive surgery, no difference was noted.46

Experimental data obtained from the rabbit model of corneal epithelial wound healing has some limitations: (1) rabbit corneal epithelial cells have different proliferation rates, (2) rabbit corneal epithelial cells undergo a different differentiation process, based on the analysis of specific cytokeratin expression.49 (3) rabbit corneal epithelial cells have a more cohesive adherence to fibronectin (in contrast with human corneal epithelial cells) that adheres better to laminin, and (4) rabbit cornea has a different structure from human cornea in that it is thinner and does not possess a Bowman’s layer.50 51

The results obtained from rabbit studies with regard to the effect of SH cannot therefore be directly applicable to human corneal epithelial wound healing. We used the commercially available SH in the dilution of 0.6 mg/ml. This concentration was based on the results of different experiments that tested different SH concentrations to stimulate corneal epithelialisation and found better results with concentrations between 0.4–1.0 mg/ml.41 43 94

Miyauchi et al52 showed that 

\[
[3H]thymidine \text{ incorporation was significantly higher in rabbit epithelial cells that were cultivated with 400 and 1000 mg/ml of SH compared with epithelial cells from the control group or those that were cultivated with fibronectin.}
\]

In our study on human epithelial cells, we evaluated epithelial cell proliferation by immunofluorescence using the anti-Ki67 antibody. We found that approximately 8–9% of the epithelial cells in each group were positive for Ki67, but we did not find a significant difference among the three groups. The study authors observed that CD44 distribution varied depending on the more peripheral locations. At the limbus, all epithelial basal cells. The wing cells expressed maximal CD44 CD44 is a transmembrane receptor linked to the actin cytoskeleton. It binds to hyaluronate and is also capable of binding fibronectin, laminin, and collagen 1.19 54 There are only a few studies related to the presence of CD44 in the cornea and the results are controversial. Alho and Underhill did not find CD44 in corneal epithelium.55 On the other hand, Asari et al56 demonstrated the presence of CD44 in epithelial and endothelial cells, and in keratocytes of rabbit corneas, and Zhu et al57 demonstrated it in human corneas. These authors observed that CD44 distribution varied depending on the epithelial layer and topographic location on the cornea. In normal corneas, CD44 was mostly found at the membrane of basal epithelial cells. The wing cells expressed maximal CD44 in the more peripheral locations. At the limbus, all epithelial layers were CD44 positive. In corneas that had allogenic corneal graft rejection, trauma, or keratitis, CD44 was observed through all layers of the corneal epithelium. Similarly, epithelial cells from other organs and tissues (such as skin, intestine, lungs and kidney) present the same CD44 expression patterns. Kaya et al58 developed a transgenic mice lineage that did not express CD44 in skin keratocytes and corneal epithelium. These animals presented an abnormal hyaluronate accumulation in the superficial dermis and
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**Figure 3** (A) Mean percentage of CD44 positive human corneal epithelial cells in the different culture conditions—standard medium (control), hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose (Occucoat), and sodium hyaluronate (Healon)—studied on day 16 of culture. Error bars = standard error of mean. (B) Immunostaining (alkaline phosphatase anti alkaline phosphatase) of a cytospin preparation of cells harvested at day 16 of culture in sodium hyaluronate medium, showing CD44 positive cells. (×400). Staining was identical to that seen with standard medium and hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose (Occucoat) medium.
beneath the corneal epithelium. These alterations resulted in changes in dermal elasticity and in cicatricial and inflammatory responses, illustrating the important role of CD44 in SH homeostasis.

In a model of corneal wound healing in rabbits, it has been shown that CD44 expression decreases before epithelial and stromal SH levels, suggesting that CD44 controls SH concentration in the cornea.\(^{22}\) In the present study, we found a high percentage of positive CD44 epithelial cells in all three groups. One can postulate that there is no negative or positive feedback loop between presence of SH and CD44 expression. As our cultures were derived from limbal explants, our findings conform to the observation made by Zhu et al.\(^{21}\) who found increased CD44 expression in peripheral corneal epithelial cells.

The beneficial effect of HA on corneal epithelial cell migration may support a role for HA instillation in ocular surface epithelial healing disorders. However, the concentration of HA applied may be critical in eliciting such an effect.
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